Algolympics 2022 Solution Sketch Problem Discussion

Cisco Ortega

March 26, 2022

Answer: 3*((max(p) - 1) + (n - p.count(1)))

- We need to bring the elevator up to the highest p_i floor that is needed. But we can also drop everyone else off along the way.
- *Everyone* needs to dismount the elevator eventually, except for the people who need to be at floor 1 (who never board the elevator).

These conditions are necessary and sufficient, so this is optimal.

Time Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ per test case

Intuitive algorithm:

- Find an "inside" subsegment of same-type coins, preferable ones that are the same type as one of the coins in the endpoints—extract it and attach it to that endpoint.
- Repeat until there are no more "inside stacks" (in which case the stack is sorted).
- 5 10 10 10 5 10 10 5 5 10

Is this correct/optimal? Yes!

Count the number of "contact points", i.e. indices i such that $coin[i] \neq coin[i+1].$

▶ In a sorted stack, there should only be one contact point.

Claim: The algorithm described in the previous slide always decreases the number of contact points by 2, *unless* the stack looks like 5 10 5, in which case it only decreases by 1.

Thus, the answer is always the number of contact points $\div 2$, rounded down.

Time Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ per test case.

Note that n is really small.

Just brute force all possible vintage Enephtys and manually compute their C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 . Count the number of them whose C match the target C.

You can easily iterate over all possible Enephtys using bitmasking (and this probably is a bit faster than recursive backtracking, too).

Time Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^2 2^{n^2})$ per test case.

Simplify the problem!

Consider only one type of ingredient.

Reframe the problem! For each free real estate on the grid, find the min distance to any M square (resp. for other types of ingredients).

- If we can answer this for one ingredient, then we can also precompute the answer for each subset of the ingredients.
- Can be answered by a multi-source BFS.

Time Complexity: Precomputation of $\mathcal{O}(2^x n^2)$, where x = 5 in this case is the number of ingredients. Then, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ per query.

Find a necessary condition: If all rocks have density < D, or if all rocks have density > D, then it is impossible.

But actually it is sufficient.

- Assume that we have two rocks a and b such that $w_a/v_a \ge D$, and $w_b/v_b \le D$.
- Suppose we use k_a rocks of type a, and k_b rocks of type b.
- Express the condition algebraically, then do some symbolic manipulations to find a solution for k_a and k_b.

$$\frac{k_a w_a + k_b w_b}{k_a v_a + k_b v_b} = D,$$

$$k_a w_a + k_b w_b = Dk_a v_a + Dk_b v_b,$$

$$k_a (w_a - Dv_a) = k_b (Dv_b - w_b).$$

As a solution, we can take $k_a = Dv_b - w_b$ and $k_b = w_a - Dv_a$.

But, by our assumption of a and b, these values of k_a and k_b are non-negative! Thus, the condition is sufficient.

Time Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ per test case

Suppose we have a phrase ending in e'. We want to make it end on e by appending a word to it. What is the max extra power we can get by appending one word? Call this value best(e', e).

$$best(e',e) = \max_{w \text{ such that } w[-1] = e} |e' - w[0]|$$

DP: Let dp[k][s][e] be the max power that can be achieved with k words, where the first letter of the first word is s, and the last letter of the last word is e.

$$dp[k][s][e] = \max_{e'}(dp[k-1][s][e'] + best(e', e)).$$

Question: What should the contents of dp[1][s][e] be, as the base case? But note that dp[k] only depends on the value of dp[k-1]. This is a signal that we can use fast matrix exponentiation (or, generally, divide and conquer) to speed up the solution. We can reduce the problem to evaluating the matrix product $A^{m-1}B$, except instead of + and \times , these matrix operations use max and +.

Try replacing max and + in the previous page's DP recurrence with + and ×. It will look like matrix multiplication!

Time Complexity: $O(n + |A|^3 \lg m)$, where |A| = 26 is the size of our alphabet.

Draw an **directed** edge between squares if it is possible to go from one to the other (if the cyclic order of the symbols is respected).

Question is now: Given a directed graph is it possible to visit all of the vertices, only by following the given edges?

This is standard. "Connectivity" in a directed graph is a telegraphed signal for using **strongly connected components**.

Problem E - Mysterious Symbols

Draw an **directed** edge between squares if it is possible to go from one to the other (if the cyclic order of the symbols is respected).

Question is now: Given a directed graph is it possible to visit all of the vertices, only by following the given edges?

Solution:

- Use Tarjan's or Kosaraju's to find the strongly connected components of the graph.
- Condense the graph to its SCCs, turning it into a DAG, which is easier to work with.
- Use DP to get max length path in the DAG, and check that this is equal to the number of SCCs.

Time Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(RC)$ per test case.

What if there were only one factory?

- Idea 1: Use line sweep. Simulate people entering and exiting their shifts, keeping track of the number of people in the factory at each point in time.
- Idea 2: Use a segment tree with range max query and range add updates, to track the number of people in the warehouse at each point in time. Considering someone's shift from L to R → +1 to the body count for times L to R.

What if there are two factories? Combine both ideas!

- Prime the segment tree with range updates so that it contains the amount of people in the second factory at each point of time.
- Do a line sweep. Simulate people entering and exiting their shifts, keeping track of the number of people in the factory at each point in time.
- ▶ When person i enters the first factory, apply -1 to X_i to Y_i in the second factory. When they exit the first factory, apply +1 to X_i to Y_i.
 - If we throw the first bomb now and kill this person, then they can't be killed again in the second factory; so, remove them.
 - But once they leave, throwing the bomb won't kill them; so, consider them again in the second factory.

What if there are two factories? Combine both ideas!

- Prime the segment tree with range updates so that it contains the amount of people in the second factory at each point of time.
- Do a line sweep. Simulate people entering and exiting their shifts, keeping track of the number of people in the factory at each point in time.
- ▶ When person i enters the first factory, apply -1 to X_i to Y_i in the second factory. When they exit the first factory, apply +1 to X_i to Y_i.
 - If we throw the first bomb now and kill this person, then they can't be killed again in the second factory; so, remove them.
 - But once they leave, throwing the bomb won't kill them; so, consider them again in the second factory.

Implementation considerations:

- Coordinate compression
- To handle queries that spill over past midnight, you can consider two days in a row, where the second day is a copy of the first one.
 - Slightly more inefficient by a small constant factor, but makes your code so much neater!

Time Complexity: $O(n \lg n)$.

Main Insight: This scheme creates different *permutations*, and permutations are objects which can be combined and composed in and of themselves.

You can even make a segment tree of permutations!

- Naive will get you TLE, worst case 50k bridges and 50k queries. You need to process all swaps until some row Y.
- Bridges represent swaps, and groups of bridges are permutations.
- One way is through segment tree. Assume that all bridges are known beforehand, and are activated/deactivated based on commands.
- For each node in the segment tree, store the effective permutation and how may bridges passed. Permutations can be composed. Similarly, bridges passed can be computed

Time Complexity: $O(nm \lg m)$

Let $R[k] = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k$, and define B[k] similarly. Also, let dp[k] be the optimal answer to get to research level k. Then, we have the following recurrence:

$$dp[i] = \min_{0 \le j \le i} (B[j] + R[i - j]),$$

(considering only the j such that both j and i - j lie in [0, n]). With DP, the complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. There exists a well-known trick call **Divide and Conquer Optimization** for DP.

- Consider the j that makes dp[i] optimal; call it A[i], as in this is the argument that makes the min optimal.
- Because r is non-decreasing, we can show that as i increases, A[i] is also non-decreasing!
- Leveraging this insight is the basis for Divide and Conquer Optimization.

No time to explain this beautiful technique in a way you'll appreciate!

- You can read the tutorial of "Ciel and Gondolas" (Codeforces) or "Guardian of the Lunatics" (Hackerrank)
- ▶ There was also a paper describing that technique in a cleaner way.
 - I can't find it at the moment, but I swear it exists.
 - To follow na lang sa Discord!

Time Complexity: $O(n \lg n)$.

Common pattern in combinatorial game theory: Suppose that whatever move my opponent makes, I *always* have a "response" move. Then, I win, because my opponent will always be the one to run out of moves first.

Main insight: find a maximum matching of squares.

If a perfect matching exists, let your opponent choose the starting position of the knight.

- Whenever your opponent moves the knight somewhere, your response is to move the knight to the matched square.
- Since you always have a valid response to any move your opponent makes, you are guaranteed to win.

If no perfect matching exists, then take any maximum matching. You should select the starting position of the knight, and place it on any unmatched square.

- > Your opponent's move always moves it to a matched square.
- From here, do the same strategy: wherever the opponent moves the knight, you respond by moving it to its matched square.
- The opponent's moves always move the knight to a matched square, because if not, then we would have an augmenting path and the matching wouldn't have been maximal.

But finding a maximum matching is easy because the knight-graph is bipartite!

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(S\sqrt{S})$ using Hopcroft-Karp to find a maximum matching, where S = 64 in our case. But because S is small, generic max flow algorithms (even Ford Fulkerson) will pass for this problem.

Represent each GC with a bitmask mask, where the *i*th bit is 1 if the *i*th immortal is in this GC, and 0 if they are not.

Let f_{mask} be the **count** of the number of GCs that have this bitset of mask.

Let exact[mask] count the number of sequences which ultimately end with *exactly* the immortals in mask being invited. If we have this for all mask, then we can use DP to compute for the answers involving don't-care people.

Problem F - End of the Universe

If k = 2, then we want to compute,

$$\mathsf{exact}[\mathsf{mask}] = \sum_{i \text{ or } j = \mathsf{mask}} f_i \times f_j,$$

For general k, we want to compute,

$$\mathsf{exact}[\mathsf{mask}] = \sum_{\mathsf{OR}\ i_t = \mathsf{mask}} f_{i_1} \times f_{i_2} \times \cdots \times f_{i_k}.$$

for all values of mask.

This looks a lot like polynomial multiplication!

Solution: Do something similar to the Fast Walsh-Hadamard Transform, except modify the magic matrix so that it performs an OR convolution instead of an XOR convolution.

Once you've computed exact[mask] for all values of mask, you can do DP to handle the do-not-cares, in order to answer each query.

Time Complexity: Precomputation of $\mathcal{O}(mn + n2^n \log k + 3^n)$, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ per query.